

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/711,959	Applicant(s) HENG ET AL.	
	Examiner Phallaka Kik	Art Unit 2825	

All Participants:
Status of Application: RCE

 (1) Phallaka Kik.

(3) _____.

 (2) Spencer K. Warnick (Reg. No. 40,398).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 November 2007
Time: 3:30 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1,9,17,31,33,35

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In response to the Examiner's indication that claims 1,5,9,13,17,21,23,31-36 have minor informalities problems, Applicant's representative authorizes the Examiner to amend the claims as given in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)